

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 08/06/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/780,977	02/09/2001	Rao S. Bezwada	ETH1533	3155	
27777 7:	590 08/06/2002	,			
AUDLEY A. CIAMPORCERO JR.			EXAMINER		
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
NEW DRONS	DRUINSWICK, INJ 08953-7005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No. O9/780977 BF2WADA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	V (2	Group Art Unit	<u> </u>	
<u> </u>	WESMA	In	1617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	errespondence a	ddress	
Peri d for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAI	LING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied to period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut 	oly within the statutory minimexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) n the mailing date	days will be consider	red timely.	
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{2}{\sqrt{g}}$	101	···		·	
☐ This action is FINAL .	,				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935			the merits is clo	sed in	
Disp sition of Claims					
\mathcal{L} Claim(s) $l-24$					
Of the above claim(s)	is/are v	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
□ Claim(s)	is/are a	is/are allowed.			
☐ Claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)	is/are (is/are objected to.			
)(Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement.			
Application Papers		•			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		diaannraya	d.		
		_ uisapprove			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object		_ disapproved		. •	
 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 		□ disapproved		. ~	
 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 		⊔ uisapprove		. •	
 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t received. 	ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- he priority documents ha	(d). ave been		. •	
 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t 	ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- he priority documents ha	(d). ave been			
 □ The drawing(s) filed on	ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- he priority documents ha r) mational Bureau (PCT F	(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
 □ The drawing(s) filed on	ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- he priority documents ha r) mational Bureau (PCT F	(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
 □ The drawing(s) filed on	ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- he priority documents ha r) mational Bureau (PCT F	(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	<u> </u>		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- he priority documents ha r) mational Bureau (PCT F	(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)). nterview Sumr	<u> </u>	tion, PTO-152	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. 4

Art Unit: 1617

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- a) A method of adhesion prevention with one polymer (claims 1-23) and
- b) A me method of adhesion prevention with at least two polymers (claim 24).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, methods of adhesion are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 1617

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Should applicants elect a) the following elections of species are required:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A method of adhesion prevention using a polymer where crosslinking is unspecified (claims 1-1, 19-23) and a method wherein the polymer is crosslinked (claims 15-18).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, methods of adhesion using a polymer are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).



Art Unit: 1617

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claims 19-23 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising Barrier Forms. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Should applicants elect method of adhesion b), the following election of species is required:

Claim 24 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising second polymers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Art Unit: 1617

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Page 5

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A phone restriction was not attempted in view of the complexity of the

requirement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Edward Webman whose telephone number is (703)

308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 Am 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Minna Moezie can be reached on (703) 308-0570. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3592

for regular communications and (703) 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1235.

DWARD J. WABMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1500

Webman/LR July 17, 2002